

Outcomes of ASC Meeting of the 29th and the 30th of July 2010

During the ASC meeting on July 29 and 30 Stuart Umpleby facilitated a discussion of the current state of cybernetics and how the American Society for Cybernetics can advance the field. The meeting was conducted in Studio 2 of EMPAC at Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute in Troy, just before the C:ADM2010 conference. Following an introduction of participants, the meeting was conducted in four subsequent sessions that addressed the following issues:

Review of cybernetics

- 1. Review of the important contributions of cybernetics
- 2. Listing of topics of current research

Discussion of the American Society for Cybernetics

- 1. What is already working? (present successes)
- 2. What is the cause of the present successes? What makes things work?
- 3. Where do we want the field of cybernetics to be in 5 years? (vision)
- 4. What would be the benefits of achieving this vision both for the Society and for you as an individual?
- 5. What can we do more, better, or differently to achieve the vision? (actions needed now)

The meeting facilitation method was similar the one described in the book Leadership Made Simple by Ed Oakley and Doug Krug (Enlightened Leadership Publications, 2006). The following pages contain a collection of the contributions made and sorted by the participants.

Review of cybernetics

- 1. Review of the important contributions of cybernetics
- 2. Listing of topics of current research



- 200 Dao De Jing (Lao Tze)
- 1918 Whitehead's call for a third culture of technology
- 1924 Milieu interior and homeostasis
- 1933 Science of sanity, Korzybski
- 1940s Norbert Wiener: Anti-aircraft gun
- 1945? Heterarchy of nervous nets (bio non-transitivity), Warren McCulloch
- 1948 Receiver Uncertainty Reduction information quantity, Shannon
- 1948 Models of Regulation
- 1949 Shannon's mathematical theory of communication & Weaver's essay
- late 1940s Science of systems with goals
 - 1950s Explaining hormonal regulation
 - 1950s governance (kybernetes)
 - thought=computation
 - 1952 Design for a brain, Ashby
 - 1956 Law of Requisite Variety, Ashby
- 1950s-1960s to approximately 1999 Cybernetic influence on family therapy
 - 1956 Models of organizations of systems that learn
 - 1956 Introduction to Cybernetics, Ashby
 - 1960s Conversation theory, Gordon Pask
 - 1960s Distinction between communication and conversation
 - John von Neumann Self-reproducing automata must have a tail with a
 - 1965 blueprint of themselves, Automata theory
 - 1960s Recursion
 - 1960s-1970s Structural coupling
 - Cyb contributions, Ashby's Law of Requisite Variety (Diversity) VC≥DV for
 - 1968 control & book Introduction to Cybernetics
 - 1968 Notion of Distinction especially Laws of Form
 - 1970s Autopoiesis
 - 1970s Systems dynamics
 - 1969 Lunar landing
 - 1968 Pigs for the ancestors (cybernetic/systems in anthropology)

1969	Cybernetics peaked as a FAD. Mansfield Amendment
1970	Chile governance by government
	Viable system contains model
1973	The brain of the firm, VSM
1972	Steps to an ecology of mind
1972	Steps to an ecology of mind, Bateson
1970s	A calculus of self-reference
1970s	Sensitivity modelling, Frederick Vester: Bio-cybernetics. City planning
1970	Actor-system dynamics
1970s	What the frog's eye tell the frog's brain, Maturana
	Architecture and Design
	Bill Powers, Behaviour as the control of perception, psychology (showed
1970s	the fallacy and phobias of ψ behaviorism)
1970s-1980s	emotioning
1970s	Subjectivity of all science
1970s	Organizational closure
~1975	Conversation theory
	Education
1970s and 1980s	Social systems theory
1975- (Pask), 1995- (Glanville)	Innovation as conversation
1974	Cybernetics of cybernetics
1980	
1980s	Anti-communication, Herbert Brün
1980	Catastrophe theory, Renee Thom
1970s	Conversation
	Design as third culture
1980-	Radical constructivism
1978	Evolutionary systems
1973	Cybersyn Project in Chile for President Salvador Allende
1980	Dissipative structures
1980~	Meme complexities as quasi self-reproducing systems, memetic addiction
1988	Glanville Objekte
1990s	The arts, technologies and society
1980	Design of Team Syntegrity
1980s	Floating hierarchies
1990s	The undecidable question
1970s-1980s	Framing
1970	Real time monitoring of statistical filtration
1970s	Observing systems
1970s	Learning systems
1990	
1995	12 Emergent cybersystemic levels of human being, Gary Boyd
1998?	Science as a subset of design
1999	Glanville: Researching Design and Designing Research
1990s	Adaptive telescope optics
1988	The tree of knowledge
	Soros reflexivity
	How I come to know, RC
	•

Group processes e.g. Team Syntegrity, Warfield's structured design

process

1990 Connections with emergence

Meanings of the term social

Drama theory, hypergame theory

2000

System of systems theory, Len Troncale

Post-normal science

Thinking about unintended consequences

1990s-2010s Cyber-systemic praxis

Design

Anticipatory systems

Self-assembly

Risk assessment circularities

Agent-based modelling and simulation of social systems

Evolutionary economics

Artificial life

2000- Power laws of behaviour, et al.

1800-2000+ Epistemological Triangle (Frege, von Foerster, Glanville, Umpleby)

What is understanding

2007 Cybersemiotics

Science of service systems

Applications to ecology and climate modeling (some)

Cybernetics engenders humility

~2000 Terrence Deacon, Symbolic Science

The craftsperson in and with TIME

Alternatives to award-oriented hierarchies

Socio-ecological systems

Climate change adaptation

STAR TREK Phase II

Fields affected: states craft, warfare, religion, commerce, governance of

anything large

Quantum cybernetics

Alternatives to consciousness as purposive (get, still with intention)

Web 2.0 (and other 2.0)

Reflexivity theory

Science 2

2010

Cyber-security

Actor-network theory

Participatory design

New economic thinking

Designing understanding

Learning from other languages and cultures

Managing/designing for emergence

Reflexive control

(Transversal) value theory

Interactive art works

Discourses of practices: adaptive managing, systemic and adaptive

governance

Synergetic design

Brain hemispheres redux

Control of the creation and interaction of ideas

Application to 3rd world (developing) problems via participatory $% \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) ^{2}$

involvement

Design and testing of AI agents which can negotiate well with $\operatorname{\mathsf{human}}$

beings

Blindsight location in brain

Playback theater, Jonathan Fox

Chromatic reasoning theory

Control of the source of ideas, identity

"Complex adaptive systems"

Discussion of the American Society for Cybernetics

- 1. What is already working? (present successes)
- 2. What is the cause of the present successes? What makes things work?



Provokes radical thinking

Surprise with opportunities to think in different ways

Ideas that stimulate other fields

Provide venue for cross-disciplinary art works/performances

Solid supply of good ideas for initiatives and projects

ASC success - bringing diverse people (Requisite Variety) who are open to each others' ideas together Integration of the arts in ASC

Laugh at ourselves

Enacting 2nd order cybernetics

Process/dynamics/generative orientation

Investigating newness

Many failure (successfully)

Refereeing ideas

Stimulating meetings

"C:ADM" great conference theme

In Foucaultian terms it offers a source of resistance

If you must suck, then suck-cess

Friendly, productive approach to disagreement

Non-exclusionary

Non-aspirant for themselves

Professional opportunities

Multi-disciplinary conversations

Non-judgmental context

Place for interdisciplines

Conserving/maintaining knowledge until the rest of the world realizes it's value

Conserves a conversational lineage

Maintaining notion of "cybernetics"

Illustrious history (lends credibility)

An Integration of terms as defined by predecessors

Ability to connect present and past

Local core of adherents too stubborn to age out!

Outlived (most of) our founders

My intellectual home

Some people have found their tribe and keep coming and/or recognize a pattern and encourage friends to come

Survived 46+ years

Friends (still)

Here we are

Learning

Holds open a conversational space

Learning from other perspectives

People who can talk and listen well

Cybernetics and Human Knowing creates a space for discourse building

Language for human viability

Global scope

Crucial problem domain

Sharing of case studies and war stories trying to evoke and apply cybersystemics

Application of ideas into our doing

Focus on methods for synthesis

- 3. Where do we want the field of cybernetics to be in 5 years? (vision)
- 4. What would be the benefits of achieving this vision both for the Society and for you as an individual?



Focus

Un-focus

Unintended consequences and counter-intuitive results expected and plans reflect that

Make the Conant-Ashby theory mandatory for legislative draftsmen & reg writers

It's the process stupid

Make universal laws of cybernetics mandatory in all law schools

An influence to society at large promoting sustainability empathy + wisdom

Social justice as recursion, recursion as social justice

Inform and infuse transhumanism

Part of new transdisciplinary language - theory of theory generation

Integral to the new paradigm for knowledge creation

In a conversation which has emerged from a transdisciplinary "synthesis" of transdisciplinary domain

A society that facilitates the exploration of differences that make a difference (from a cyber-systemic perspective)

Students learn cybernetics through games like "Ecopolitics"

A strong node in a cyber-systemic network

Funding gained for facilitating the emergence of an intellectual cyber-systemic coalition

Cybernetics enacted in conversation

An alternative to reward-oriented hierarchy

Build stronger ties to related organisations

Coordination/influence with other organizations

More cybernetics (science) fiction

Influence new technology

Cybernetics as soul-stuff immortability improvement technology

Developing influential new theory

Integration of cognitive sciences

Contribute to new economic thinking

Cybernetic models today are "accurate" descriptions of models of the past

New cybernetic views over a symbiosis of main disciplines as Arts

Maintain-strengthen cybernetics in arts

Widely adopted as "foundation" for new paradigm theories & practices (design, management etc.)

Understanding cybernetics<->understanding praxis

Appreciating the relational form of distinction when aspiring to be distinct

Be accepted as a core curriculum subject in many schools: HS, college, uni + cybernetics

Cybernetics accepted widely as the basis of many of recent scientific and technological developments

Public officials use cybernetic language

Easy to implement preparedness project templates for handling disturbance

At the forefront of a new focus on praxis

Cyber-systemics in all secondary school curricula

Multiple perspectives guided discussions the norm

Accessibility to practitioners + interaction

A filling station for cybernetic thinkers

Accessibility beyond academic community

Transition towns consciously using it

Includes a variety of disciplines in efforts to solve problems and provoke change

Cybernetics as a CRAFT

More stable vocabulary

Openness to divergent precisions

ASC members from Asia

20% of ASC members under 40

500 members

ASC as a community of practice linked online

Use Google wave

Small is large

More is different

5. What can we do more, better, or differently to achieve the vision? (actions needed now)



Engage with psychological theories of distributed cognition

Reach out to different disciplines

Cybernetics is 25 years out of date

Be opportunistic - follow example of the Jesuits in working with existing cultures

Obtain funding for projects (application) "DO"

Worth doing vs. worth achieving

Distinguish between goals and aspirations

Lead methodological development in the social sciences

We must share our models and cumulate research outcomes

Videocybernetics

Integrate differentiated

Story not ideas (after the event)

Produce manga comics / graphic novels

Story->Design->Block->Idea->Story->Design etc.

Observing experimenting interpret in vicious circle to become virtuous ones

Educative ASC game (MMOG?) development & deployments by the ASC gamers!

Cybernetic video archives generates conversation about solving problems

Expand 'learning resources' on OpenLearn (systems/cybernetics) or ASC gets into a 'joined up' network in parallel with exploring social networking media for CoP building

Write a screenplay

Advertise

Get cybernetic concepts into the public discourse

Regular reports on/to/from other systems societies

Avoid the sales pitch

(Marketing) How to convey to decision makers/funders the value of applying cybernetics to address complex problems

Act to make more choices - Linked

Construct your own meaning. Take responsibility, work with it, reflect/construct, act

Understand ourselves as part of a self-referential social order that is capable of change

Apply theoretic theory to practice, publish results identifying how the theory was applied / Informed practice

Develop & embody ways to extend the rules/constraints in a gentle & friendly way Engage people in their own desires

To see a goal as a project
Let it go let it flow...

Take advantage of I.T. to communicate understanding

Biological computing applications

Create a network of desires (as constraints)

Haptic communications - wireless -